Further to my prior Access to Information Request regarding an incident at Roncalli School, St. John's involving the collapse of a cinder block wall which occurred on or about September 22, 2014, please provide the following additional documentation/records/information: 1. A copy of all inspection reports, if any, conducted by the Professional Engineer employed by the Department of Transportation and Works (DTW), acting as the Project Manager for the Roncalli School Expansion, on the structural integrity of concrete block walls constructed on the school site. 2. A copy of all inspection reports by the Prime Consultant, Stantec Architecture, on the subject of block wall construction on the Roncalli construction project. 3. A copy of all inspections reports performed by DBA Consulting Engineers Ltd. of the structural steel and block work construction for the project. 4. A copy of inspection reports conducted by ServiceNL for DTW on the wall collapse incident. 5. A copy of report(s) conducted by Workplace Health and Safety on the wall collapse incident. 6. A copy of a Certification by a Professional Structural Engineer confirming that the structure, including the concrete block walls, constructed under contract to Baraco Construction, have been built according to the design specifications contained in the Engineering Drawings for the work. 7. A copy of all test results performed on the integrity of the grout used to fill the subject concrete block walls and confirmation they met the 20 Mpa specifications contained in the contract documents. 8. A copy of all tests performed on the Type "S" mortar confirming work performed as specified in the contract documents. 9. Any inspection reports that confirm the location and quantity of rebar used in the block work for the wall.
construction and well as the masonry anchors to the structural steel and horizontal reinforcement of block work used on the project. 10. A copy of the Incident Report prepared by the Contractor at the time the incident was discovered, and sent to DTW.

As the advisory response dated October 1, 2015 explained, the Workplace Health, Safety and Compensation Commission does not have the legislative authority to play an investigative role in a matter such as the wall collapse at the school as this falls under the purview of the Occupational Health and Safety Division of Service NL. A previous Access to Information Request has been submitted for these records, and is available on the ATIPP Office’s website at http://www.atipp.gov.nl.ca/info/completed/2015/pdf/snl_34_2015.pdf. Service NL has also reviewed its records and determined that the Department does not have any further responsive records to this request, in particular it has no further inspection reports for the Department of Transportation and Works on the wall collapse other than the Occupational Health and Safety Division’s reports referenced above.

Please note that while your request specified inspection reports prepared by the Department of Transportation and Works’ Project Manager and/or Stantec Architecture, the Project Manager with the department is not a structural engineer and therefore relies upon the expertise of an external structural engineer to design and review structural elements of the building. The structural design of Roncalli was subcontracted by Stantec Architecture to DBA Consulting Engineers, LTD, which completed site inspections. These inspection reports are enclosed. No inspection reports on the structural integrity of the building or any structural elements and review of the block wall were prepared by the Department’s Project Manager or Stantec Architecture.

In addition to the inspection process, the Department of Transportation and Works follows a commissioning process that includes the review and approval of various building elements, which are then reviewed and signed by departmental officials and the applicable external consultant. As the project is not yet complete in its entirety, the commissioning manual is still being compiled by the contractor. This manual is not yet in the custody and control of the Department of Transportation and Works and therefore cannot be provided in response to this ATIPP request as per section 5 of the Act, which specifies that the Act only applies to records in the custody and control of the public body.

Access to the remaining requested records has been granted in part. The following records are enclosed:

1. DBA Inspection Reports
2. Baraco Accident/Incident Report

In addition to the requested records, two reports from the Department of Transportation and Works site visits to Roncalli are enclosed. These particular site visit reports provide further information related to your request as they reference the construction of the masonry walls.
Information contained within the records has been refused in accordance with the following exceptions to disclosure, as specified in the Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act (the Act):

40. (1) The head of a public body shall refuse to disclose personal information to an applicant where the disclosure would be an unreasonable invasion of a third party's personal privacy.

Please be advised that you may ask the Information and Privacy Commissioner to review the processing of your access request, as set out in section 42 of the Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act (the Act). A request to the Commissioner must be made in writing within 15 business days of the date of this letter or within a longer period that may be allowed by the Commissioner.

The address and contact information of the Information and Privacy Commissioner is as follows:

Office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner
2 Canada Drive
P. O. Box 13004, Stn. A
St. John’s, NL. A1B 3V8
Telephone: (709) 729-6309
Toll-Free: 1-877-729-6309
Facsimile: (709) 729-6500

You may also appeal directly to the Supreme Court Trial Division within 15 business days after you receive the decision of the public body, pursuant to section 52 of the Act (a copy of this section of the Act has been enclosed for your reference).

Please be advised that responsive records will be published following a 72 hour period after the response is sent electronically to you or five business days in the case where records are mailed to you. It is the goal to have the responsive records posted to the Office of Public Engagement's website within one business day following the applicable period of time. Please note that requests for personal information will not be posted online.

If you have any further questions, please feel free to contact the undersigned by telephone at (709) 729-6814 or by e-mail at janinemurphy@gov.nl.ca

Sincerely,

Janine Murphy
ATIPP Coordinator
Department of Transportation and Works
Enclosures
Section 5: Application

5. (1) This Act applies to all records in the custody of or under the control of a public body but does not apply to

(a) a record in a court file, a record of a judge of the Court of Appeal, Trial Division, or Provincial Court, a judicial administration record or a record relating to support services provided to the judges of those courts;

(b) a note, communication or draft decision of a person acting in a judicial or quasi-judicial capacity;

(c) a personal or constituency record of a member of the House of Assembly, that is in the possession or control of the member;

(d) records of a registered political party or caucus as defined in the House of Assembly Accountability, Integrity and Administration Act;

(e) a personal or constituency record of a minister;

(f) a record of a question that is to be used on an examination or test;

(g) a record containing teaching materials or research information of an employee of a post-secondary educational institution;

(h) material placed in the custody of the Provincial Archives of Newfoundland and Labrador by or for a person other than a public body;

(i) material placed in the archives of a public body by or for a person other than the public body;

(j) a record relating to a prosecution if all proceedings in respect of the prosecution have not been completed;

(k) a record relating to an investigation by the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary if all matters in respect of the investigation have not been completed;

(l) a record relating to an investigation by the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary that would reveal the identity of a confidential source of information or reveal information provided by that source with respect to a law enforcement matter; or

(m) a record relating to an investigation by the Royal Newfoundland Constabulary in which suspicion of guilt of an identified person is expressed but no charge was ever laid, or relating to prosecutorial consideration of that investigation.
5(2) This Act

(a) is in addition to existing procedures for access to records or information normally available to the public, including a requirement to pay fees;

(b) does not prohibit the transfer, storage or destruction of a record in accordance with an Act of the province or Canada or a by-law or resolution of a local public body;

(c) does not limit the information otherwise available by law to a party in a legal proceeding; and

(d) does not affect the power of a court or tribunal to compel a witness to testify or to compel the production of a document.
Disclosure harmful to personal privacy

40. (1) The head of a public body shall refuse to disclose personal information to an applicant where the disclosure would be an unreasonable invasion of a third party's personal privacy.

(2) A disclosure of personal information is not an unreasonable invasion of a third party's personal privacy where

(a) the applicant is the individual to whom the information relates;
(b) the third party to whom the information relates has, in writing, consented to or requested the disclosure;
(c) there are compelling circumstances affecting a person’s health or safety and notice of disclosure is given in the form appropriate in the circumstances to the third party to whom the information relates;
(d) an Act or regulation of the province or of Canada authorizes the disclosure;
(e) the disclosure is for a research or statistical purpose and is in accordance with section 70;
(f) the information is about a third party's position, functions or remuneration as an officer, employee or member of a public body or as a member of a minister's staff;
(g) the disclosure reveals financial and other details of a contract to supply goods or services to a public body;
(h) the disclosure reveals the opinions or views of a third party given in the course of performing services for a public body, except where they are given in respect of another individual;
(i) public access to the information is provided under the Financial Administration Act;
(j) the information is about expenses incurred by a third party while travelling at the expense of a public body;
(k) the disclosure reveals details of a licence, permit or a similar discretionary benefit granted to a third party by a public body, not including personal information supplied in support of the application for the benefit;
(l) the disclosure reveals details of a discretionary benefit of a financial nature granted to a third party by a public body, not including

(i) personal information that is supplied in support of the application for the benefit, or
(ii) personal information that relates to eligibility for income and employment support under the Income and Employment Support Act or to the determination of income or employment support levels; or

(m) the disclosure is not contrary to the public interest as described in subsection (3) and reveals only the following personal information about a third party:

(i) attendance at or participation in a public event or activity related to a public body, including a graduation ceremony, sporting event, cultural program or club, or field trip, or

(ii) receipt of an honour or award granted by or through a public body.

(3) The disclosure of personal information under paragraph (2)(m) is an unreasonable invasion of personal privacy where the third party whom the information is about has requested that the information not be disclosed.

(4) A disclosure of personal information is presumed to be an unreasonable invasion of a third party's personal privacy where

(a) the personal information relates to a medical, psychiatric or psychological history, diagnosis, condition, treatment or evaluation;

(b) the personal information is an identifiable part of a law enforcement record, except to the extent that the disclosure is necessary to dispose of the law enforcement matter or to continue an investigation;

(c) the personal information relates to employment or educational history;

(d) the personal information was collected on a tax return or gathered for the purpose of collecting a tax;

(e) the personal information consists of an individual's bank account information or credit card information;

(f) the personal information consists of personal recommendations or evaluations, character references or personnel evaluations;

(g) the personal information consists of the third party's name where

(i) it appears with other personal information about the third party, or

(ii) the disclosure of the name itself would reveal personal information about the third party; or

(h) the personal information indicates the third party's racial or ethnic origin or religious or political beliefs or associations.
(5) In determining under subsections (1) and (4) whether a disclosure of personal
information constitutes an unreasonable invasion of a third party's personal privacy, the
head of a public body shall consider all the relevant circumstances, including whether

(a) the disclosure is desirable for the purpose of subjecting the activities of the
province or a public body to public scrutiny;

(b) the disclosure is likely to promote public health and safety or the protection of
the environment;

(c) the personal information is relevant to a fair determination of the applicant's
rights;

(d) the disclosure will assist in researching or validating the claims, disputes or
grievances of aboriginal people;

(e) the third party will be exposed unfairly to financial or other harm;

(f) the personal information has been supplied in confidence;

(g) the personal information is likely to be inaccurate or unreliable;

(h) the disclosure may unfairly damage the reputation of a person referred to in
the record requested by the applicant;

(i) the personal information was originally provided to the applicant; and

(j) the information is about a deceased person and, if so, whether the length of
time the person has been deceased indicates the disclosure is not an unreasonable
invasion of the deceased person’s personal privacy.
Direct appeal to Trial Division by an applicant

52. (1) Where an applicant has made a request to a public body for access to a record or correction of personal information and has not filed a complaint with the commissioner under section 42, the applicant may appeal the decision, act or failure to act of the head of the public body that relates to the request directly to the Trial Division.

(2) An appeal shall be commenced under subsection (1) not later than 15 business days

(a) after the applicant is notified of the decision of the head of the public body, or the date of the act or failure to act; or

(b) after the date the head of the public body is considered to have refused the request under subsection 16(2).

(3) Where an applicant has filed a complaint with the commissioner under section 42 and the commissioner has refused to investigate the complaint, the applicant may commence an appeal in the Trial Division of the decision, act or failure to act of the head of the public body that relates to the request for access to a record or for correction of personal information.

(4) An appeal shall be commenced under subsection (3) not later than 15 business days after the applicant is notified of the commissioner’s refusal under subsection 45(2).
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September 21, 2015

Natasha Smith | Project Manager
Special Projects Directorate
Department of Transportation & Works
Government of Newfoundland & Labrador
P.O. Box 8700, St. John’s, NL A1B 4J6

Dear Natasha:

Re: 140130082 Roncalli School Expansion

This letter is a follow-up to our previous letter dated October 20, 2014 regarding the collapse of a block wall that occurred on or before the morning of September 22, 2014 at the above noted construction site.

The undersigned was notified of the wall collapse during the morning of September 22, 2014 and visited the site during the afternoon, on the same day, to conduct a structural investigation into the cause of the wall collapse located on Line Y5 between Lines X3 and X11. At the time of that investigation it was noted that an adjacent wall located on Line Y5 between Lines X15 and X25, which was similar in construction, did not sustain any damages.

During our investigation on September 22, 2014 several structural deficiencies were identified in the block wall that collapsed. As a result of these deficiencies, precautionary permanent and temporary remedial measures were undertaken to secure the adjacent section of wall until further evaluation of the block wall construction procedures could be investigated. DBA reviewed and approved the construction of these remedial measures the following morning, on Sept. 23, 2014.
Since that time, DBA have made periodic site progress reviews of the structural work at Roncalli School in accordance with the scope of work for our discipline. As our mandate did not include detailed structural inspections, we merely offer the opinion, based on our periodic site visits, and reviews of testing, photographs and inspection reports prepared by others, we are currently not aware of any structural items that may not be in compliance with our design documents.

In conclusion, with the above noted, it is my professional opinion that the structural aspects of the Roncalli School Expansion construction is in general compliance with the structural design documents. Therefore, there are no structural concerns to report with the as-constructed school at the time this report was prepared.

Yours truly,

DBA Consulting Engineers Ltd.

Mervin Morris, P. Eng.
Structural Engineer

Projects/11166/Structural Letter Sept 2015
SITE INSPECTION REPORT No. 4

Project: Roncalli School Expansion  Project No: 11166

Inspector: Jamie Anstey, B. Eng, E.I.T.  Date of Visit: April 22, 2013

Contractor: Baraco Atlantic

1. Status of Project (Block A and B – Phase 1)
   - Installation of footings and foundations is complete, with the exception of thickened slabs and footings supporting block walls.
   - Phase 1A Structural steel erection on-going. Erected steel (including steel beams and columns) in areas:
     o From gridline Y23 to Y42 between X6 to X28. With the exception of low beams (B3-HSS 254x152x18) on line X28 and end wall steel on line Y42. OWSJ installation on-going. Joist cross bracing complete from line Y41 to Y32. No deck installed in this area.
     o From gridline Y17 to Y35 between X33 to X41. OWSJ installed. Deck installed from Y35 to Y22 on both level 2 and roof. Deck also installed in area of Y18 to Y22, X38 to X41 on level 2.
     o From Y16 to Y18, between X25 to X31. OWSJ installed.
     o Braces installed on line X6 (Y36 to Y38), X28 (Y36 to Y38), Y19 (X32 to X35), Y23 (X17 to X22), Y25 (X17 to X22), Y31 (X32 to X35) and Y33(X38 to X41).

LIMITS OF REPORT: Within this report are some observations made by DBA during a recent site visit. Do not assume DBA has completed a full assessment of the work for compliance with Contract Documents during this site visit, also do not assume if something is not documented on this report that it is compliant with the Contract Documents. The General Contractor is responsible to ensure all work is in compliance with Contract Documents.
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2. Remarks

- Anchor bolts in pier P37 at X36/Y6 are too short with a 50mm thread length. Contractor to submit anchor repair detail for approval.
- Anchor bolts off-center in pier P5 located at X35/Y11. Located at 2.5” off center. Contractor to confirm correct anchor bolt location.
- Corner chipped off pier P5 at X40/Y9. Contractor to submit pier repair detail for approval.
- Pier P22 at X41/Y11 revised as per drawing SC-19 R1. DBA did not review rebar prior to pour.
- Anchor bolts in Pier P18 at X34/Y12’ appears off center. Contractor to confirm correct anchor bolt location.
- Repair detail for column anchor bolts at X41/Y14 was received, but repair has yet to be completed.
- Anchor bolts missing in P17 pier at X31/Y19 and baseplate for column has been cut at bolt hole. Contractor to submit repair detail for anchors and baseplate for approval.
- OWSJ bolt attachment to beams on line Y16 and Y18, between X25 to X31 at level 2; missing bolts. Contractor to install missing bolts.
- Beam to beam connection at X27/Y16, level 2 missing bolt. Contractor to install.
- Deck fastening incomplete. Sidelaps not yet connected. Contractor to use bunch punches as specified. Deck fastening to OWSJ varies between 19mm puddle weld and deck nails. This is acceptable. However, the spacing of the puddle welds and nails are inconsistent. Spacing to be every second flute and at braced bays every flute. In addition the installation of the nails are inconsistent. Use puddle welds where (E) nails are not installed properly.
- Deck to be fastened to all joist supports including at lap joints.
- Baseplate cut at X32/Y22 to allow installation of anchor bolts. Contractor to submit baseplate repair detail for approval.
- Anchor Bolts bent for P5 piers at X35/Y28 and X37/Y29. Contractor to submit anchor bolt repair detail for approval.
- Anchor bolt missing from pier P26 at X32/Y31. Contractor to install chemical anchor similar to previously submitted repair detail at Y18/X41.
- Pier P4 at X29/Y37 damaged and anchor bolts bent. Contractor to submit repair detail for approval.
- OWSJ in area of new gymnasium (X28 to X6, Y25 to Y42) differs from those submitted on shop drawings. Joist to have bottom chord extension and attached to block wall as per detail 3/7.01 and 4/7.03. Contractor to follow up with DBA.

LIMITS OF REPORT: Within this report are some observations made by DBA during a recent site visit. Do not assume DBA has completed a full assessment of the work for compliance with Contract Documents during this site visit, also do not assume if something is not documented on this report that it is compliant with the Contract Documents. The General Contractor is responsible to ensure all work is in compliance with Contract Documents.

Form 24 - Rev. 3 Sept. 24/08
- Rebar and formwork inspections are performed by DTW on a regular basis. DBA requests that the DTW submit copies of the site inspection reports for our file.
- Contractor shall submit plumb/alignment report, bolt inspection report and weld inspection report, performed by independent third party to engineer for review.

Distr:
Roger Tulk - Stantec Architecture
Bobby Clarke – Baraco
File

LIMITS OF REPORT: Within this report are some observations made by DBA during a recent site visit. Do not assume DBA has completed a full assessment of the work for compliance with Contract Documents during this site visit, also do not assume if something is not documented on this report that it is compliant with the Contract Documents. The General Contractor is responsible to ensure all work is in compliance with Contract Documents.
SITE INSPECTION REPORT No. 1

Project: Roncalli School Expansion  Project No: 11166
Contractor: Baraco Atlantic

1. Status of Project

- Installation of footing forms and reinforcement is ongoing.
- Footing formwork and rebar have been installed at the following locations:
  - Line X6 between Y25 & Y42
  - Line X8 between Y23 & Y25
  - Line X32 between Y22 & Y26
  - Line Y25 between X6 & X28
  - Line Y26 between X28 & X32
  - Line Y42 between X6 & X28
  - Near Line Y30 (stage wall) between X6 & X17

2. Remarks

- General contractor shall coordinate size of the pit, adjacent to Line X8 near Y23, with Mechanical and Architectural requirements. Pit slab to be poured monolithic with building footing.

LIMITS OF REPORT: Within this report are some observations made by DBA during a recent site visit. Do not assume DBA has completed a full assessment of the work for compliance with Contract Documents during this site visit, also do not assume if something is not documented on this report that it is compliant with the Contract Documents. The General Contractor is responsible to ensure all work is in compliance with Contract Documents.
• A representative from DTW performed a rebar and formwork inspection just prior to my arrival on site.
• As discussed with Kevin (Baraco) on site, where new concrete piers and foundation walls abut existing hollow core block foundation walls, dowels shall be inserted into existing walls by knocking out the face of the block at the dowel locations, only. Minimum dowel embedment = 150mm. All voids at dowel locations shall be filled with concrete upon placement of concrete in the piers and walls.

Distr:
Roger Tulk - Stantec Architecture
Bobby Clarke – Baraco
File

LIMITS OF REPORT: Within this report are some observations made by DBA during a recent site visit. Do not assume DBA has completed a full assessment of the work for compliance with Contract Documents during this site visit, also do not assume if something is not documented on this report that it is compliant with the Contract Documents. The General Contractor is responsible to ensure all work is in compliance with Contract Documents.
Baraco Inspection Reports

TW/047/2015
ACCIDENT / INCIDENT REPORT FORM

NAME: Andy Munn

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF ACCIDENT/INCIDENT: Approximately 25 ft by 50 ft section of cinder-block wall collapsed

OCCUPATION: Construction Safety Manager

HOW LONG IN THIS OCCUPATION: 15 yrs

HOW LONG IN THIS POSITION: 4 yrs

MANAGEMENT: Fred Hort / Billy Harte

OH&S COMMITTEE MEMBER: [Signature]

1. COMPANY INFORMATION

FIRM NAME: Baraco Atlantic

DIVISION: General Contractors

ADDRESS: 333 Freshwater Road, 2nd Floor

CITY/TOWN: St. John's

PROVINCE/STATE: NL

PHONE NO.: 709-900-7410

TYPE OF BUSINESS: Construction

2. EMPLOYEE INFORMATION

EMPLOYEE NAME: [Signature]

ADDRESS: [Address]

CITY/TOWN: [City/Town]

PROVINCE / STATE: Only

PHONE NO.: [Phone Number]
3. DATE AND TIME

DATE OF OCCURRENCE: Sunday, September 21/14
TIME OF OCCURRENCE: Unknown
DATE REPORTED: Monday, Sept 22/14
TIME REPORTED: 7:13 am

4. LOCATION

SITE OF OCCURRENCE: Russell School, Airport Heights, St. John's, NL
IF ACCIDENT OCCURRED IN A DIFFERENT LOCATION THAN #1 PLEASE SPECIFY ADDRESS
CITY/TOWN: St. John's
PROVINCE/STATE:

5. MACHINERY

DESCRIPTION OF ALL MACHINERY, EQUIPMENT, TOOLS OR VEHICLES INVOLVED

Approx 25' by 50' tinder box wall

6. INVESTIGATION

CHECK ALL ITEMS BELOW THAT APPLY TO THE OCCURRENCE BEING INVESTIGATED:

- [ ] INCIDENT
- [ ] PROPERTY OR EQUIPMENT DAMAGE
- [ ] PROCESS LOSS
- [ ] FIRE
- [ ] VEHICLE
- [ ] INJURY
7. ACCIDENT SCENE
MEASURES TAKEN TO SECURE ACCIDENT SCENE
Pictures taken. Barring added to similar wall 15' east of wall that fell. Area taped off. Additional supports added as per Structural Engineer Martin Morris (ORA Consulting), subcontractor by Stanco.

8. MEDICAL ASSISTANCE
MEDICAL ASSISTANCE RENDERED AT: N/A
NAME OF ATTENDING PHYSICIAN: N/A

9. INJURIES
DESCRIPTION OF PERSONAL INJURIES: N/A

10. WITNESSES
1. NAME: Herb Hammond
   OCCUPATION: Security

2. NAME: ____________________________
   OCCUPATION: ___________________
11. SEQUENCE OF EVENTS

Hub entered site at 5:30am, Sunday, Sept 11/11 and noticed the 56th floor had collapsed. He first thought it had been removed by workers for some reason. No other workers were on site at the time. 40-60 winds estimated at that time.

12. DIAGRAM OF SCENE

13. PHOTO DESCRIPTIONS

PHOTO #1

PHOTO #2
South facing edge of slab where wall fell. Note no penetration of rebar into foundation.

PHOTO #3
Rabar flush with Additional photo of short wall showing presence of rebar throughout.
14. CONTRIBUTING CONDITIONS & ACTIONS
LIST THE CONDITION WHICH CONTRIBUTED TO THE OCCURRENCE

15. REASONS WHY THESE CONDITIONS AND ACTIONS EXISTED
REASONS FOR THE CONDITIONS WHICH CONTRIBUTED TO THE OCCURRENCE

16. CORRECTIVE MEASURES THAT COULD BE TAKEN
CORRECTIVE MEASURES SUGGESTED TO ELIMINATE THESE CONDITIONS

Strong winds and insufficient support on top, bottom and sides

Workers did not take time to dowel in rebar or ensure that plates were attached to wall

Verification that all supports are in place prior to constructing wall or accepting as complete. Extra measures to be taken in event of high winds or other conditions
TW Site Visit Reports
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# Site Visit Inspection Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Roncalli School</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Location:</td>
<td>St John's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Manager:</td>
<td>Natasha Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection Requested By:</td>
<td>Requested by PM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date:</td>
<td>Jan 28, 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time:</td>
<td>08:00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weather Conditions:</td>
<td>03 cloudy skies light wind</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contractor:** Baraco  
**Site Superintendent:** Ken Furrier  
**Sub-contractors on-site:**  
- Ash Drywall Two workers level two installing steel stud and drywall  
- Nu Air , Not on site  
- DWC Electrical Two workers doing rough in level two  
- Sky Way Steel Not on site  
- A&A Masonry Six workers on site installing masonry walls inside

**Safety Concerns:** None at time of visit.

**Previously Identified: Deficiencies:**

**Shop Drawings Compliance Review:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component Reviewed</th>
<th>(Product Name from Shop Dwg):</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Installed Work Completed as Per Shop Drawing?</td>
<td>YES ( )</td>
<td>NO ( )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Activities Performed/ Equipment Used:**  
Site visit to review ongoing work.  
At time of visit various items were observed and noted.
GENERAL NOTES (PROGRESS, DEFICIENCIES, CONFIRMED CONTRACT DOCUMENTS):

Area of building that parapet design was being discussed and reviewed.

Masons installing walls
GENERAL NOTES (PROGRESS, DEFICIENCIES, CONFIRMED CONTRACT DOCUMENTS):

Section of wall observed at time of review with reinforcement installed as required.

Area inside hoarding observed with no work activates at time of visit.
GENERAL NOTES (PROGRESS, DEFICIENCIES, CONFIRMED CONTRACT DOCUMENTS):

Inspection Report Completed By: Leon Murphy, CTcch
Architectural Technical Services Inspector
Transportation and Works
Ground Floor East Block
Confederation Building
St. John’s NL, Canada A1B 4J6
 t 709.729-2514
f 709.729-3218
e leonmurphy@gov.nl.ca

Date: Jan 29, 2015

Project Manager Signature:
## Site Visit Inspection Report

**Project Name:** Roncalli School  
**Location:** St John's  
**Project Manager:** Mark Howell  
**Inspection Requested By:** Periodic Inspections as Requested by PM.

**Date:** May 13, 2013  
**Time:** 08:30  
**Weather Conditions:** 9°C clear skies

**Contractor:** Baraco Atlantic  
**Site Superintendent:** Kevin Foristall  
**Sub-contractors on-site:**

**Safety Concerns:** None at time of visit

**Previously Identified:** Item  
**Deficiencies:**

### Shop Drawings Compliance Review:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component Reviewed (Product Name from Shop Dwg):</th>
<th>( )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Installed Work Completed as Per Shop Drawing?</td>
<td>YES ( )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If NO – Technical Deficiencies Observed:

### Activities Performed/ Equipment Used:

Site visit to review progress,
GENERAL NOTES (PROGRESS, DEFICIENCIES, CONFIRMED CONTRACT DOCUMENTS):

On site May 13 2013 for review on masonry mock-up at grid line X33 from lines Y23 to Y24 consisting of four courses masonry units high by seven masonry units in length. Exterior wall room 1227.

Completed review on mock-up for masonry block. It appeared ok and within acceptable tolerances at time of review.

Pic 1

Masonry mock-up at grid line X33 from lines Y23 to Y24
GENERAL NOTES (PROGRESS, DEFICIENCIES, CONFIRMED CONTRACT DOCUMENTS):

Pic 2

Masonry mock-up at grid line X33 from lines Y23 to Y24

Pic 3

Masonry mock-up at grid line X33 from lines Y23 to Y24
GENERAL NOTES (PROGRESS, DEFICIENCIES, CONFIRMED CONTRACT DOCUMENTS):

Inspection Report Completed By:  
Leon Murphy  
Architectural Technical Services  
Inspector  
Special Projects  
Transportation and Works  
Ground Floor East Block  
Confederation Building  
St. John's NL, Canada A1B 4J6  
t 709.729-2514  
c 709.693-2837  
f 709.729-3218  
e leonmurphy@gov.nl.ca

Date:  
June 01, 2013

Project Manager Signature: