June 1, 2016

Re: Your request for access to information under Part II of the Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act [JPS/05812016]

Dear [Name]

On May 5, 2016 the Department of Justice and Public Safety received your request for access to the following records:

"Why do an Supreme Court Judge or Provincial Court Judge wear an garment in court to an court case is it an serious court case, and the charge is criminal what evidence was provided to the court. Do an person have to be an lawyer to have a charge layed and get an conviction. Is it the court policy and Minister of Justice and Public Safety that an female RCMP officer can't be charged under oath perjury/obstruction of justice if the judge is female in an case."

Please be advised that a decision has been made by the Deputy Minister of the Department of Justice and Public Safety to disregard this request on the basis that it is incomprehensible in accordance with section 21(1)(c)(iii) of the Access to Information and Protection of Privacy Act, 2015 (the 'Act'). This decision has been approved by the Office of the Information and Privacy Commissioner.

As per section 52 of the Act you may appeal directly to the Supreme Court Trial Division within 15 business days after you receive the decision of the public body.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me by telephone at 709-729-7906, or by email at ncroke@gov.nl.ca.

Sincerely,

[Signature]
Neil Croke
ATIPP Coordinator